

February 10, 2021

VIA E-FILING and COURIER

Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk
Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission
89 Jefferson Boulevard
Warwick, RI 02888

Re: In Re: Commission's Review of the Benefits and Costs of Net Metering Credit Calculation Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 39-26.4-3: Docket No. 5010

Dear Ms. Massaro:

On behalf of The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid (the Company), enclosed for filing with the Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission (the Commission) please find the Company's complete response to PUC 8-1, which was issued by the Commission on January 26, 2021. In making its filing on February 5, 2021, the Company inadvertently omitted Attachment 8-1 from its response. Enclosed here is the filing again, with the attachment included, in Excel and in PDF.

Consistent with the instructions issued by the Commission on March 16, 2020, and updated on October 2, 2020, this filing is being made electronically. Five (5) hard copies of this filing will be submitted to the Commission on Thursday, February 11, 2021, with two (2) hard copies being three-hole punched.

If you have any questions, please contact me at: 781-907-2126. Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,



Laura C. Bickel
RI Bar # 10055

Enclosures

cc: Docket No. 5010 Service List

Docket No. 5010 Service List as of 9/10/2020

Name	E-mail	Phone
PUC		
Luly Massaro, Clerk	Luly.Massaro@puc.ri.gov ;	401-780-2107
Todd Bianco	Todd.Bianco@puc.ri.gov ;	
Emma Rodvien	Emma.Rodvien@puc.ri.gov ;	
Cynthia Wilson-Frias	Cynthia.WilsonFrias@puc.ri.gov ;	
Raquel Webster	Raquel.Webster@nationalgrid.com ;	
Vishal Ahirrao	Vishal.Ahirrao@nationalgrid.com ;	
Ian Springsteel	ian.springsteel@nationalgrid.com ;	
Timothy Roughan	Timothy.Roughan@nationalgrid.com ;	
Brooke Skulley	brooke.skulley@nationalgrid.com ;	
Kate Grant	Kate.Grant2@nationalgrid.com ;	
Laura Bickel	Laura.Bickel@nationalgrid.com ;	
Thomas Kender	Thomas.Kender@nationalgrid.com ;	
John Kennedy	John.Kennedy@nationalgrid.com ;	
Chris Kearns	Christopher.Kearns@energy.ri.gov ;	
Shauna Beland	Shauna.Beland@energy.ri.gov ;	
Nicholas Ucci	Nicholas.Ucci@energy.ri.gov ;	
Carrie Gill	Carrie.Gill@energy.ri.gov ;	
Jon Hagopian	Jon.Hagopian@dpuc.ri.gov ;	
John Bell	John.Bell@dpuc.ri.gov ;	
Nicole Verdi	Nicole.Verdi@governor.ri.gov ;	
Maria Messick	Maria.Messick@governor.ri.gov ;	
	mishag@brightplanetsolar.com ;	
Alan McBride	amcbride@iso-ne.com ;	
Andrew Bernstein	abernstein@kearsargeenergy.com ;	
Annie Ratanasim	Annie.Ratanasim@commerceri.com ;	
Ben Aparo	ben@edp-energy.com ;	
Ben Swanson	ben.swanson@trinitysolarsystems.com ;	
Blake Elder	belder@eq-research.com ;	
Charles Nutter	cnutter@nexamp.com ;	
Christian Capizzo	ccapizzo@psh.com ;	
Christopher Warfel	cwarfel@entech-engineering.com ;	
Dan Bosley	dbosley@necec.org ;	
Dan Hendrick	dan.hendrick@clearwayenergy.com ;	
Dana Weinberg	dana@sunwatt.solar ;	
Daniel Pertwee	daniel.pertwee@palmettoventures.com ;	
David Milner	dmilner@nugencapital.com ;	

Luly E. Massaro, Clerk

Docket No. 5010 -- In Re: Commission's Review of the Benefits and Costs of Net Metering
Credit Calculation

February 10, 2021

Page 3 of 4

Devina Thakur	dthakur@ameresco.com ;	
Doug Sabetti	doug@newportsolarri.com ;	
E W Tatelbaum	etatelbaum@kearsargeenergy.com ;	
Eric Beecher	eric@solpowersolar.com ;	
Erika Niedowski	erika@communitysolaraccess.org ;	
Ethan Gyles	egyles@nexamp.com ;	
Evan Dube	evand@sunrun.com ;	
Frank Epps	frank@edp-energy.com ;	
Frank McMahan	fmcmahan@advocacysolutionsllc.com ;	
Fred Unger	unger@hrtwd.com ;	
George Zainyeh	gzainyeh@shslawfirm.com ;	
Hannah Morini	hm@green-ri.com ;	
Helen Anthony	helen@handylawllc.com ;	
James Feinstein	james.feinstein@arcadia.com ;	
Jeremy McDiarmid	jmcdiarmid@necec.org ;	
Joel Lindsay	jlindsay@ameresco.com ;	
Johanna Rosas	Johanna@RevityEnergy.com ;	
John Habib	jhabib@keeganwerlin.com ;	
Julian Dash	jdash@cleaneconomydevelopment.com ;	
Karen Bradbury	karen_bradbury@whitehouse.senate.gov ;	
Kate Tohme	kate.tohme@state.ma.us ;	
Kathryn Chelminski	kchelminski@ameresco.com ;	
Kavita Ravi	kravi@bluewavesolar.com ;	
Kenneth Payne	kennethpayne@gmail.com ;	
Kleo Taliadouros	ktaliadouros@ameresco.com ;	
Laura Frazier	lfrazier@nugencapital.com ;	
Laura Stern	laura@nautilussolar.com ;	
Lindsay McGovern	lindsay@southernskyre.com ;	
Louis DiPalma	louis_dipalma@yahoo.com ;	
Mark DePasquale	md@green-ri.com ;	
Mark Durrenberger	mark@newenglandcleanenergy.com ;	
Mark Sylvia	msylvia@bluewavesolar.com ;	
Matt Sullivan	ms@green-ri.com ;	
Matthew Stern	mstern@keeganwerlin.com ;	
Michael Daley	mdaley@ibew99.org ;	
Michael Kerr	michael@nehydropower.com ;	
Michelle Carpenter	mcarpenter@tpoint-e.com ;	
Miguel Silva	Miguel.Silva@directenergy.com ;	
Mike Lucini	mlucini@ismgroup.com ;	
Nathan Phelps	nathan@votesolar.org ;	
Palmer Moore	pmoore@nexamp.com ;	
Paul Del Mar	pdelmar@ameresco.com ;	
Paul Raducha	praducha@kearsargeenergy.com ;	

Luly E. Massaro, Clerk

Docket No. 5010 -- In Re: Commission's Review of the Benefits and Costs of Net Metering
Credit Calculation

February 10, 2021

Page 4 of 4

Pete Fine	pete@ussolarworks.com ;	
Peter Hughes	peter@sunwatt.solar ;	
Philip Small	psmall@brownrudnick.com ;	
Ralph Gillis	rg@green-ri.com ;	
Ralph Palumbo	ralph@southernskyre.com ;	
Rick Sellers	rhsellers@gmail.com ;	
Russ Mamon	russ@edp-energy.com ;	
Ryan Palumbo	ryan@southernskyre.com ;	
Sarah O'Neil	seo@green-ri.com ;	
Scott Milnes	smilnes@econoxgroup.com ;	
Sean Burke	sburke@necec.org ;	
Seth Handy	seth@handylawllc.com ;	
Sheila Keane	sheila.keane@state.ma.us ;	
Chris Rauscher	chris.rauscher@sunrun.com ;	
Sue Lyons	sue@ussolarworks.com ;	
Sydney Usatine	SUsatine@riib.org ;	
Thomas Champlin	Thomaschamplin4@gmail.com ;	
Tom Holt	tholt@kearsargeenergy.com ;	
Tyler Haines	tyler.haines@fairstead.com ;	
Weezie Nuara	wnuara@iso-ne.com ;	
Nicholas Al Ferzly	nalferzly@seadvantage.com ;	
Jim Kennerly	jkennerly@seadvantage.com ;	
Matthew Jerzyk	mjerzyk@gmail.com ;	
Amanda Vanega	avanega@eq-research.com ;	
Tim Woolf	twoolf@synapse-energy.com ;	
Courtney Lane	clane@synapse-energy.com ;	

The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid
 RIPUC Docket No. 5010
 In Re: Commission’s Review of the Benefits and Costs of Net Metering Calculation
 Responses to Commission’s Eighth Set of Data Requests
 Issued on January 26, 2021

PUC 8-1

Request:

In PUC 6-4 in Docket No. 5010, the Commission asked National Grid to provide a table with historic MDC values and “an explanation of how National Grid estimated that MDC rate, using what data.” With this data request, the Commission sought to understand how National Grid calculates MDC values. While National Grid did clarify that the MDC values are calculated using a spreadsheet tool developed by ICF International, Inc., it did not explain how that tool works or what specific data it uses. To respond to the Commission’s original request, please submit the following:

- (a) A copy of the ICF International spreadsheet tool used by National Grid to calculate MDC values.
- (b) Either within the spreadsheet tool or in a separate document, note where in the spreadsheet tool each of the “Company-specific inputs of historic and projected capital expenditures and loads, as well as a carrying charge calculated from applicable tax rates and FERC Form 1 accounting data” are input into the tool, and explain the specific origins of that data.

Response:

- a) Please find attached the ICF International spreadsheet tool used by National Grid to calculate MDC values.
- b) The below table summarizes the source of inputs requested by the PUC. The tool and attached PDF documentation has more detailed information, including formulas and sources.

Topic	Tab	Cell	Source
Historic Capital Expenditures	Summary Schedule 1	D14	NECO FORM 1 P206 L75c
Projected Capital Expenditures	Summary Schedule 1	E14	NECO FORM 1 P206 L75c
Historic Incremental Load	Summary Schedule 1	D24	2017 Company Specific Forecast Data. Peak forecast data used should be consistent with the company planning policy (for example if transmission investment is based on extreme weather expectations, the extreme weather peak forecast should be used. For consistency with the historical data, the forecast should be at the generation level.
Projected Incremental Load	Summary Schedule 1	E24	Forecast Peak MWs from NE PEAK 2015 Report, Appendix A

The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid
 RIPUC Docket No. 5010
 In Re: Commission’s Review of the Benefits and Costs of Net Metering Calculation
 Responses to Commission’s Eighth Set of Data Requests
 Issued on January 26, 2021

Historical Carrying Charge	Summary Schedule 1	D19	See "Carrying Charge Schedule 3 (DS)" with individual sources listed for formula components.
Projected Carrying Charge	Summary Schedule 1	E19	Equal to Historical Carrying Charge.

With respect to the historic and projected incremental load values, the AESC 2018 study recommended that the marginal distribution cost calculations conducted by National Grid should use a peak forecast inclusive of energy efficiency's impacts on peak demand.¹ When that recommendation was tested in the avoided T&D tool, the resulting calculation of avoided marginal distribution cost was more than \$1000 per kW. The peak forecast omitting energy efficiency impacts was therefore used in the calculation. While this was not the recommendation of the AESC 2018 study, it provides a more conservative value for the calculation of the benefits of energy efficiency measures that impact peak demand in the absence of investment forecasts that account for the higher investment required in a counterfactual without energy efficiency.

Functionally this modeling choice accounts for the way that the T&D tool takes into account five years of historical and five years of projected peak loads in the calculation. The historical and forecasted peak demand data used account for the cumulative impact of past energy efficiency installations' impact on peak demand. Therefore, when the input forecast includes the impact of energy efficiency, the future peaks are reduced due to the cumulative effect of energy efficiency relative to the past. When forecasted investments in distribution infrastructure are divided by those lower peak forecasts the resulting marginal per-unit values are significantly higher than when the forecast of peak demand that does not account for energy efficiency is used. This treatment of investments and peak demand forecasts was used in both the Rhode Island and Massachusetts benefit cost analyses for the most recent energy efficiency program plans. These calculations and assumptions will be revisited as the AESC 2021 study concludes in Q2 2021 in advance of the 2022 Annual Energy Efficiency Plan development.

¹ AESC 2018, Section 10.2 page 208, <https://www.synapse-energy.com/sites/default/files/AESC-2018-17-080-Oct-ReRelease.pdf> provides helpful discussion of the considerations of the historical and forecasted distribution investment and peak demand data.

Topic	Tab	Cell	Source
Historic Capital Expenditures	Summary Schedule 1	D14	NECO FORM 1 P206 L75c
Projected Capital Expenditures	Summary Schedule 1	E14	NECO FORM 1 P206 L75c
Historic Incremental Load	Summary Schedule 1	D24	2017 Company Specific Forecast Data. Peak forecast data used should be consistent with the company planning policy (for example if transmission investment is based on extreme weather expectations, the extreme weather peak forecast should be used. For consistency with the historical data, the forecast should be at the generation level.
Projected Incremental Load	Summary Schedule 1	E24	Forecast peak MWs from NE PEAK 2015 Report, Appendix A
Historical Carrying Charge	Summary Schedule 1	D19	See "Carrying Charge Schedule 3 (DS)" with individual sources listed for formula components.
Projected Carrying Charge	Summary Schedule 1	E19	Equal to Historical Carrying Charge.

Summary Schedule 1

Purpose: Assuming detailed data on incremental transmission investments are available to participants as they assess avoided Transmission and Distribution (transmission) expenses, this workbook provides a methodology for calculating marginal avoided costs. Schedule 1 performs that calculation using outputs from subordinate Schedules 2 through 4.

Inputs are Shaded in Green

Line	Description	Units	Historical	Forecast	Avoided Capacity Costs - Weighted	Avoided Capacity Costs - No Weighting	Source
1	Incremental Investments in transmission systems caused by load growth	US\$000	\$1,143,034	\$948,245			Line 3 from Schedule 2
1Meco	Incremental Investments in distribution systems caused by load growth	US\$	\$136,697,216	\$239,967,745			Line 3 from Schedule 2
1Neco	Incremental Investments in distribution systems caused by load growth	US\$	\$48,182,631	\$94,020,216			Line 3 from Schedule 2
2	Annual carrying charge of transmission capital investments	%/yr	10.1%	10.1%			Line 8 from Schedule 3 (TR)
2Meco	Annual carrying charge of distribution capital investments	%/yr	23.1%	23.1%			Line 8 from Schedule 3 (DS)
2Neco	Annual carrying charge of distribution capital investments	%/yr	16.5%	16.5%			
3	Incremental growth in peak demand	MW	359	628			Line 1 from Schedule 4
3Meco		MW	255	439			
3Neco		MW	123	189			
4	Marginal cost of transmission capacity - component	\$/kW-yr	\$321.82	\$152.62			Line 1 * Line 2 / Line 3
4Meco	Marginal cost of distribution capacity - component	\$/kW-yr	\$124.03	\$126.48			Line 1 * Line 2 / Line 3
4Neco		\$/kW-yr	\$64.76	\$82.24			

IN CASE OF ERRORS USERS SHOULD VERIFY VALUES. TOTAL ERRORS FOUND: 4

ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS OF MDC AND MTC

For 2009, we choose option (A). It is preferred over option (b) because we only have five years of historic data, which is too limited for application of weightings. Option (C) was used in prior years when we made a distinction between Res and C&I classes (primary/secondary equipment ownership), especially for RI where the utility cost test was used. However, in 2009, RI will be using the TRC test. The distinction between C&I and Res classes is no longer relevant.

A) UNWEIGHTED TOTAL MDC AND MTC. Uses the entire time period (Total column) for estimating marginal costs to reduce the effects of lumpiness in the investment cycle. Best if only limited investment data is available. As a guideline, less than 4 years of forecast data or less than 8 years or total data should be considered limited data. Since we only have five years of forecast data, we combine this with five years of historic data.

		BASE YEAR = 2016				
6	Marginal cost of transmission capacity - total	\$/kW-yr		ALL -MTC	\$214.16	Σ (Line 4 * Line 5) for Components or Line 1*Line 2/ Line 3 for Total
6Meco	Marginal cost of distribution capacity - total	\$/kW-yr		MA -MDC	\$125.58	Σ (Line 4a * Line 5) for Components or Line 1a*Line 2a/ Line 3 for Total
6Neco		\$/kW-yr		RI -MDC	\$80.24	

B) WEIGHTED MDC AND MTC. Uses weighting factors applied to historic data and forecast data. Weighting Factors are used to allow for component periods to be given greater or lesser importance in determining the marginal costs. Component periods should only be used in cases where adequate investment occurs in the component periods or in cases where the quality of the data for either component is unreliable.

		BASE YEAR = 2016				
5	Weighting of component costs	%	50%	50%		
6Meco	Marginal cost of transmission capacity - total				\$431.22	
6Neco	Marginal cost of distribution capacity - total				\$125.25	
6Neco					\$73.50	

C) SPLIT BETWEEN PRIMARY AND SECONDARY: uses old MDC ratios and peak load data to split MDC into Res & C&I components

		BASE YEAR = 2016				
OLD MDC --->		Res	C&I	Ratio		
7Meco		114.571	77.9864	1.469115128		
7Neco		87.944	60.3411	1.457447743		
CLASS PEAK LOAD SHARE --->		Res	C&I			
7Meco		42%	58%			MA -MDC
7Neco		39%	61%			RI -MDC
						ALL -MTC
					\$214.16	\$214.16

Transmission Investment Schedule 2

Purpose: This schedule tracks capital investments made on transmission systems over a specific historical or future time period. (The same time period peak growth was tracked for Schedule 4.) The time period requires a duration over which a reasonable amount of investment occurred or is projected to occur; recommended is 25 years in length; 15 historical years and 10 forecast years.

Could not remove FY17 - see using the 2018 NECC Study

Need to adjust BASE YEAR every year and correct real & equation every year. BASE YEAR = 2014

Because available forecast is only 5 years, but 1st FY of forecast is already halfway over, National Grid has adopted practice of 5 historical years. We need to adjust periods in Lines 1, 1a, and 1b every year

Inputs are Shaded in Green (previously used), New/Needed Inputs are Shaded in Yellow

Line	Description	Units	TRANSMISSION		Mass. (MECO - NANT)		NECO FORM 1 P206 L75c		GSECO		Source
			Nominal	Real	Nominal	Real	Nominal	Real	Nominal	Real	
1	Incremental investments into transmission systems - Historical	US\$000	1,547,244	1,632,906	999,550,206	1,051,511,049	304,246,718	321,217,539	-	-	Sum of 6 most recent 5 historic years
1a	Incremental investments into transmission systems - Forecast	US\$000	1,395,411	1,354,636	1,991,467,494	1,845,905,731	645,066,165	626,801,442	-	-	Sum of 6 most recent forecast years
1b	Incremental Investments into transmission systems - Total	US\$000	2,942,654	2,987,542	2,990,023,700	2,897,422,781	949,916,883	948,018,979	-	-	Sum of the above (5 hist + 6 fct. years)
c	Capital Investment: Year 1 (Historical) 1993	US\$000	17,822	35,252	0	0	26,410,521	52,239,965			Nominals (Historical) from FERC Form 1, P206, Line 75 (c) "TOTAL Distribution Plant"
d	Capital Investment: Year 2 (Historical) 1994	US\$000	75,906	145,755	0	0	43,015,253	82,597,899			1994
e	Capital Investment: Year 3 (Historical) 1995	US\$000	26,436	49,316	0	0	42,273,840	78,802,298			1995
f	Capital Investment: Year 4 (Historical) 1996	US\$000	39,808	72,205	0	0	28,692,971	48,304,174			1996
g	Capital Investment: Year 5 (Historical) 1997	US\$000	53,373	93,763	86150425	151,344,199	24625546	43,260,768			1997
h	Capital Investment: Year 6 (Historical) 1998	US\$000	41,039	69,989	75644292	129,004,581	25722104	43,866,750			1998
i	Capital Investment: Year 7 (Historical) 1999	US\$000	54,624	90,434	177,155,498	127,736,928	19,558,918	32,374,853			1999
j	Capital Investment: Year 8 (Historical) 2000	US\$000	34,395	55,280	85,185,624	136,910,420	34,997,102	56,247,377			2000
k	Capital Investment: Year 9 (Historical) 2001	US\$000	71,494	111,548	84,125,734	131,256,154	33,979,351	53,016,181			2001
l	Capital Investment: Year 10 (Historical) 2002	US\$000	37,018	56,069	87,832,291	133,038,915	34,363,487	52,079,844			2002
m	Capital Investment: Year 11 (Historical) 2003	US\$000	56,375	82,893	119,599,897	175,858,287	41,859,256	61,549,360			2003
n	Capital Investment: Year 12 (Historical) 2004	US\$000	72,100	102,917	114,225,190	183,047,823	32,605,289	46,541,549			2004
o	Capital Investment: Year 13 (Historical) 2005	US\$000	67,676	93,790	184,520,372	47,843,894	65,159,165				2005
p	Capital Investment: Year 14 (Historical) 2006	US\$000	112,154	150,872	138,814,935	186,736,866	46,988,796	63,210,349			2006
q	Capital Investment: Year 15 (Historical) 2007	US\$000	156,951	204,964	157,943,112	206,260,077	47,892,648	62,543,668			2007
r	Capital Investment: Year 16 (Historical) 2008	US\$000	96,414	126,032	201,084,478	67,688,304	85,817,159				2008
s	Capital Investment: Year 17 (Historical) 2009	US\$000	181,033	222,739	160,244,980	197,215,091	50,591,487	62,152,682			2009
t	Capital Investment: Year 18 (Historical) 2010	US\$000	166,285	198,645	156,785,395	187,316,020	50,710,950	60,585,732			2010
u	Capital Investment: Year 19 (Historical) 2011	US\$000	147,326	170,874	169,854,744	197,119,790	40,245,646	46,678,387			2011
v	Capital Investment: Year 20 (Historical) 2012	US\$000	262,799	295,698	151,724,143	170,833,187	50,832,585	57,234,744			2012
w	Capital Investment: Year 21 (Historical) 2013	US\$000	253,071	276,818	144,281,332	157,684,160	39,112,499	42,791,733			2013
x	Capital Investment: Year 22 (Historical) 2014	US\$000	296,450	314,565	202,738,799	215,127,358	74,615,506	79,174,962			2014
y	Capital Investment: Year 23 (Historical) 2015	US\$000	362,190	373,972	267,123,015	275,163,416	78,676,122	81,038,093			2015
z	Capital Investment: Year 24 (Historical) 2016	US\$000	372,733	372,133	232,708,927	232,708,927	61,818,006	61,818,006			2016
aa	Capital Investment: Year 25 (Forecast) 2017	US\$000	241,015	233,972	279,459,270	271,259,270	91,884,613	89,199,702			2017
ab	Capital Investment: Year 26 (Forecast) 2018	US\$000	220,813	214,361	346,006,297	335,897,774	111,511,650	108,253,228			2018
ac	Capital Investment: Year 27 (Forecast) 2019	US\$000	246,947	239,731	317,444,908	196,972,149	103,846,373	102,000,000			2019
ad	Capital Investment: Year 28 (Forecast) 2020	US\$000	250,695	243,369	305,000,000	296,087,758	108,300,015	105,135,438			2020
ae	Capital Investment: Year 29 (Forecast) 2021	US\$000	222,358	215,861	319,000,000	305,676,672	116,909,695	107,756,145			2021
af	Capital Investment: Year 30 (Forecast) 2022	US\$000	213,982	207,241	325,000,000	315,650,349	116,000,153	112,610,555			2022

Historical Escalation Rate	%	3.01%	Handy Whitman for 2008-2017
Forecast Escalation Rate	%	3.04%	Handy Whitman for 2008-2017

2	Percentage Assumed to be Related to Increasing Load	%	70%	MECO	13%	NECO	15%	The percentage due to load growth would be between 26% in Massachusetts and 35% in Rhode Island from Glen D'Onza, 9/29/17	MECO	26%	NECO	35%	load growth above number, X3% of above number)
---	---	---	-----	------	-----	------	-----	---	------	-----	------	-----	--

3	Incremental Investments in Transmission Systems caused by Load Growth - Historical	US\$	1,143,034.13	136,697,216.43	48,182,630.66	-	Line 1 times Line 2
3a	Incremental Investments in Transmission Systems caused by Load Growth - Forecast	US\$	948,245.21	239,867.745	94,020,216.25	-	Line 1a times Line 2
3b	Incremental Investments in Transmission Systems caused by Load Growth - Total	US\$	2,091,279.34	376,664,961.52	142,202,846.90	-	Line 1b times Line 2

(1) FERC FORM 1 NEW ENGLAND POWER COMPANY, PAGE 206 L58 (L53 prior to 2003) Total Additions to Transmission Plant
 (2) FERC FORM 1 MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC COMPANY, PAGE 206, L58 Total Additions to Transmission Plant
 (3) FERC FORM 1 NARRAGANSETT ELECTRIC COMPANY, PAGE 206, L58 (c) Total Additions to Transmission Plant

DISTRIBUTION CAPITAL BUDGET - updated 2017											
CAPEX By Jurisdiction	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023
	Massachusetts (MECO-NANT) - "MA Electric Capex - Total"	\$ 170,000.00	\$ 205,419.00	\$ 242,100.00	\$ 292,140.00	\$ 279,459.20	\$ 346,000.30	\$ 327,000.00	\$ 305,000.00	\$ 319,000.00	\$ 325,000.00
Narragansett Electric Company (NECO) - "Narr Electric Capex - Subtotal" *17-18 are actuals	\$ 50,250.00	\$ 78,000.00	\$ 72,590.00	\$ 83,655.00	\$ 91,884.61	\$ 111,511.65	\$ 106,972.15	\$ 108,300.02	\$ 110,999.61	\$ 116,000.13	\$ 115,699.58
Distribution Capex Additions - Totals	220,250	283,419	314,690	375,795	371,344	457,520	433,972	413,300	430,000	441,000	428,700

TRANSMISSION CAPITAL BUDGET				
Fisc. Year	Converting from FY to Calendar Year			
	\$million	Cal FY \$million	Calendar Year	
US Transmission				
NEP Capex Spend from 2015 budget in \$	FY13 - hist	260.0	\$232.81	2013
	FY14 - hist	223.8	\$291.45	2014
	FY15 - hist	314.0	\$312.98	2015
	FY16 - hist	312.6	\$292.69	2016
	FY17 - fcast	273.0	\$241.01	2017
	FY18 - fcast	220.4	\$220.81	2018
Assume level funding from year previous if no data for final year.	FY19 - fcast	217.6	\$246.95	2019
	FY20 - fcast	256.7	\$250.69	2020
	FY21 - fcast	248.7	\$222.36	2021
	FY22 - fcast	213.8	\$213.58	2022

Status: DISTRIBUTION CAPITAL BUDGET - updated 2017									
CAPEX By Jurisdiction	Updated	Actual	Fcast	Fcast	Fcast	Fcast	Fcast	Fcast	Fcast
	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025
Massachusetts (MECO-NANT) - "MA Electric Capex - Total"	279,459.197	346,008.297	327,000.000	305,000.000	319,000.000	325,000.000	313,000.000		
Narragansett Electric Company (NECO) - "Narr Electric Capex - Subtotal" *17-18 are actuals	91,884.613	111,511.650	106,972.149	108,300.015	110,999.605	116,000.133	115,699.580		
Distribution Capex Additions - Totals	371,343.810	457,519.947	433,972.149	413,300.015	429,999.605	441,000.133	428,699.580		

Carrying Charge Schedule 3 - Transmission

Purpose: Schedule 1 tracks large long-term investments in transmission systems. This Schedule calculates the factor used to determine the annual cost of those investments. Annual costs include obligations to debt holders and shareholders for those long-term investments, as well as taxes, insurance, and other recurring expenses. In calculating an annual charge we can get from \$/kW reductions to \$/Wyr reductions, valuing O&M programs over their useful life.

Data source - Use most recent FERC Form 1 form for NEP.

Inputs are Shaded in Green (previously used), New/Needed inputs are Shaded in Yellow

Line	Description	Units	Source	Source / Notes
1	Real After Tax Cost of Financing (WACC)	%	Formula	4.0% [(1-Line 1a)*(Line 1c)]/(Line 1a)+(Line 1b)*(1-Line 1f)
a	Share of project financed through debt	%	NA	50%
b	Real Interest Rate on Debt	%	NA	0% (1+ Line 1b) / (1+Line 9-1)
b1	Nominal Interest Rate on Debt	%	NA	2%
c	Expected After Tax Real Return on Equity	%	NA	8% real using the inflation rate
c1	Expected After Tax Nominal Return on Equity	%	NA	10% (1+Line 1c1) / (1+Line 9-1)
d	State Income Tax Rate	%	NA	6.93%
e	Federal Income Tax Rate	%	NA	21% (will state in FERC form 1 (can find on page 450.2))
f	Effective State and Federal Income Tax Rate	%	Formula	25% Line 1d + Line 1e * (1-1d)
2	Property Taxes Expense	%	Formula	1.1% Line 2a / Line 2b
a	Total Plant Annual Property Taxes	MMS	NEP FERC Form 1 Taxes Accrued, Prepaid and Charged During the Year (pg 263 column I, municipal transmission) where available, use Form 1 Electric Operation and Maintenance Expenses (pg 323 line 14)	2012 NEP page 263. Sum lines for MA, NH, RI, VT
b	Net Book Value of Total Plant	MMS	Form 1 Summary of Utility Plant and Accumulated Provisions for Depreciation, Amortization and Depletion - Net utility plant (pg 200 line 15)	2016 NEP page 200
3	Insurance Expense	%	Formula	0.0% Line 3a / Line 3b
a	Total Plant Annual Insurance Costs	MMS	Form 1 Electric Operation and Maintenance Expenses (pg 323 line 18) "property insurance" current year	2016 NEP
b	Net Book Value of Total Plant	MMS	Form 1 Summary of Utility Plant and Accumulated Provisions for Depreciation, Amortization and Depletion (pg 200 line 15)	2016 NEP page 200
4	Depreciation Expense (using Sinking Fund Factor Approach)	%	Formula	0.83% Line 1 / ((1+ Line 1) * Line 4a -1)
a	Depreciation Life of Transmission Plant	Yr	NA	45
5	Operation and Maintenance Expense	%	Formula	3.2% Line 5a / Line 5b
a	Annual Transmission Operation and Maintenance Expenses	MMS	NEP FERC Form 1 Electric Operation and Maintenance Expenses as per Appendix 1	58.49
b	Net Book Value of Distribution Plant	MMS	Formula NEP page 207 L58 end of yr. Old Form 1 Electric Plant in Service (pg 207 line 75 for D; line 58, col g, for T)	1,833.12 Line 5c - Line 5d
c	Electric Plant in Service	\$	Accumulated Provision for Depreciation of Electric Utility Plant (pg 219 line 26 for D, line 25 for T)	2,276,550,274 2016 NEP page 207 L58 end of yr
d	Accumulated Depreciation	\$		443,428,297 2016 NEP page 219 L25
7	Income Taxes Expense	%	Formula	0.936% (Line 1f / (Line 6a))*(Line 1+Line 4-1/Line 4a)/(1-Line 1a*Line 1b / Line 1)
a	Gross up factor for taxes	%	Formula	75% 1+Line 1f
8	Annual Real Carrying Charge of Capital Investments	%	Formula	10.1% (Line 1+Line 2+Line 3+Line 4+Line 5+Line 6)
9	General Inflation	%	Input	1.86% Set to 2019 Plan value inflation rate before 2015, we used this: based on Consumer Price Index - July 12 (the change in the CPI from a year earlier. So compare Jan 2015 to Jan 2014. Use the CPI index for all urban customers)

-61-

Line	Municipal - Transmission	
10	MA	24,657,699
17	NH	2,788,702
23	VT	1,990,853
26	ME	
28	RI	
31	CT	
NY		
36	RI	146,854
PA		
	TOTAL	28,674,108
	minus NH	2,788,702
	minus vt	27,593,255
	minus ri	28,657,254

Could the "Total Plant Annual Property Taxes" (line 2a) be the Municipal - transmission tax for electric of just MA, NH, VT but not RI (FERC Form 1pg 262-3)?

2012 NEP page 263. Sum lines for MA, NH, RI, VT

Carrying Charge Schedule 3 - Distribution

You are correct, our federal rate for all companies is now at 21%. NECO state tax rate remains at zero. MECO remains at 8%. For NEP, we are currently using a state blended rate of 6.93%. Let me know if you need anything else.

1,871

Purpose: Schedule 1 tracks large long-term investments in transmission systems. This Schedule calculates the factor used to determine the annual cost of those investments. Annual costs include obligations to debt holders and shareholders for those long-term investments, as well as taxes, insurance, and other recurring expenses. In calculating an annual charge we can get from \$/kW reductions to \$/kW-yr reductions, valuing DSM programs over their useful life.

MECO, NECO, and GSECO have been updated at different time intervals because of the different planning periods at National Grid. Inputs are Shaded in Green (previously used/ no alternation required), New/Needed Inputs are Shaded in Yellow

Line	Description	Units	Source	2016 Form 1 MECO	2016 Form 1 NECO	2006 Form 1 GSECO	Source / Notes	Recent Notes:
1	After Tax Cost of Financing (WACC)	%	Formula	6.4%	6.2%		[(1-Line 1a)*(Line 1c)]+[(Line 1a)*(Line 1b)*(1-Line 1f)]	
a	Share of project financed through debt	%	NA	50%	50%			
b	Real Interest Rate on Debt	%	NA	4%	3%		(1+ Line 1b1) / (1+Line 9) -1	
b1	Nominal Interest Rate on Debt	%	NA	5.8%	5.1%		Nominal value only is available, the value should be converted to real using the inflation rate	
c	Expected After Tax Real Return on Equity	%	NA	10%	10%		(1+Line 1c1) / (1+Line 9)-1	
c1	Expected After Tax Nominal Return on Equity	%	NA	12%	12%			
d	State Income Tax Rate	%	NA	8%	0%		MECO - 6.5% state rate until March 31, 2014, 8% state rate from April 1, 2014 forward.	
e	Federal Income Tax Rate	%	NA	21%	21%			
f	Effective State and Federal Income Tax Rate	%	Formula	27%	21%		Line 1d + Line 1e * (1-1d)	
2	Property Taxes Expense	%	Formula	4.0%	0.9%		Line 2a / Line 2b	
a	Total Plant Annual Property (Real Estate) Taxes	MMS	Form 1 Taxes Accrued, Prepaid and Charged During the Year. Under Distribution of taxes charged "electric" (line 11 l, p.263) OLD: (pg 263 column i distribution share) where available, else Form 1 Electric Operation and Maintenance Expenses (pg 323 line 164)	87.11	20.20		Line 2b must be consistent with data entered for Line 2a. NECO/MECO 2016 used page 322 Line 164 since there was no tax information on page 263	
b	Net Book Value of Total Plant	MMS	If Taxes Accrued schedule identified for distribution only in Line 2a, then enter value as per Line 5b. If Operation and Maintenance Expenses Schedule is used, enter value as per line 3b	2,195.12	2,277.23			
3	Insurance Expense	%	Formula	1.3%	0.4%		Line 3a / Line 3b	
a	Total Plant Annual Insurance Costs	MMS	Form 1 Electric Operation and Maintenance Expenses (pg 323 line 185)	49.74	8.03		NECO FERC Form 1 2016 page 323 L185	
b	Net Book Value of Total Plant	MMS	Form 1 Summary of Utility Plant and Accumulated Provisions for Depreciation, Amortization and Depletion (pg 200 line 15 c)	3,727.12	2,277.23		NECO FERC Form 1 2016 page 200 L15c	
4	Depreciation Expense (using Sinking Fund Factor Approach)	%	Formula	1.60%	1.51%		Line 1 / ((1+ Line 1) ^ Line 4a -1)	
a	Depreciation Life of Distribution Plant	Yr		26	27			
5	Operation and Maintenance Expense	%	Formula	8.3%	6.5%		Line 5a / Line 5b	
a	Annual Distribution Operation and Maintenance Expenses	MMS	Form 1 Electric Operation and Maintenance Expenses as per Appendix 1	182.21	50.22			
b	Net Book Value of Distribution Plant	MMS	Form 1 Electric Plant in Service (pg 207 line 75)	2,195.12	776.21		Line 5c - Line 5d	
c	Electric Plant in Service	\$	Accumulated Provision for Depreciation of Electric Utility Plant, balances and changes during the year, "distribution" (pg 219 line 26 c or 19)	3,775,362,613	1,401,914,307		NECO FERC Form 1 2016 page 207 L75g	
d	Accumulated Depreciation	\$		1,580,246,219	625,699,916		NECO FERC Form 1 2016 page 219 L26b	
6	Income Taxes Expense	%	Formula	1.554%	1.075%		(Line 1f / Line 6a)*(Line 1+Line 4-1/Line 4a)*(1-Line 1a*Line 1b / Line 1) - Line 1f	
a	Gross up factor for taxes	%	Formula	73%	79%			
7	Annual Real Carrying Charge of Capital Investments	%	Formula	23.1%	16.5%		(Line 1+Line 2+Line 3+Line 4+Line 5+Line 6)	
8	General Inflation	%	Input	1.86%	1.86%			
			2006 CC	11.7%	11.9%			

Appendix 1: Transmission and Distribution Operation and Maintenance Cost Avoidable Expenses

Inputs are Shaded in Green

Category	NEP 2016	MECO 2013	NECO 2016	GSECO 2009	Share Avoidable Assumption	Share Not Avoidable Assumption	Avoidable Costs (\$)				Notes
	FERC Form 1	Operation and Maintenance Expenses page 321	Enter Value in \$ Directly from Form 1				NEP 2016	MECO 2013	NECO 2016	GSECO 2009	
Source:											
TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - OPERATION											
Operation (560) Operation Supervision and Engineering	644,817	29,699	1,124,230		0%	100%	-	-	-	-	
Operation (561) Load Dispatching	8,044,207	18,010,749	6,465,395		0%	100%	-	-	-	-	
Operation (562) Station Expenses	1,044,022	1,285,859	606,595		10%	90%	104,402	128,586	60,660	-	Majority of expenses will be considered fixed and will not be affected by normal new investment in equipment.
Operation (563) Overhead Lines Expenses	1,934,102	1,046,451	404,856		20%	80%	386,820	209,290	80,971	-	Majority of expenses will be considered fixed and will not be affected by normal new investment in equipment.
Operation (564) Underground Lines Expenses	0	36,938	0		20%	80%	-	7,388	-	-	Majority of expenses will be considered fixed and will not be affected by normal new investment in equipment.
Operation (565) Transmission of Electricity by Others	9,925,870	369,137,595	27,000,069		20%	80%	1,985,174	73,827,519	5,400,014	-	Share will vary considerable based on situation of individual companies and purpose of the transmission investment
Operation (566) Miscellaneous Transmission Expenses	5,411,837	901,851	2,971,259		50%	50%	2,705,919	450,926	1,485,630	-	Items included in this category may be vary from company to company or year to year and may span variable and fixed costs. A 50% split is used as a proxy.
Operation (567) Rents	3,956,072	31,505	103,987		0%	100%	-	-	-	-	Rents are considered fixed
Total (as a check for correct entry)	30,960,927	390,480,647	38,676,391								
TRANSMISSION EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE											
Maintenance (568) Maintenance Supervision and Engineering	812,683	136,287	67,964		0%	100%	-	-	-	-	
Maintenance (569) Maintenance of Structures	61,653	28,573	83,948		20%	80%	12,331	5,715	16,790	-	Majority of expenses will be considered fixed and will not be affected by normal new investment in equipment.
Maintenance (570) Maintenance of Station Equipment	4,495,046	685,875	862,890		20%	80%	899,009	137,175	172,578	-	Majority of expenses will be considered fixed and will not be affected by normal new investment in equipment.
Maintenance (571) Maintenance of Overhead Lines	21,648,474	1,093,190	1,450,492		20%	80%	4,329,695	218,638	290,098	-	Majority of expenses will be considered fixed and will not be affected by normal new investment in equipment.
Maintenance (572) Maintenance of Underground Lines	123,018	48,162	747,878		20%	80%	24,604	9,632	149,576	-	Majority of expenses will be considered fixed and will not be affected by normal new investment in equipment.
Maintenance (573) Maintenance of Miscellaneous Transmission Plant	383,294	162,527	16,071		50%	50%	191,647	81,264	8,036	-	Items included in this category may be vary from company to company or year to year and may span variable and fixed costs. A 50% split is used as a proxy.
Total (as a check for correct entry)	27,524,168	2,154,614	3,229,243								
AVOIDABLE TRANSMISSION O&M	58,485,095	392,635,261	41,905,634				10,639,600	75,076,132	7,664,351		
DISTRIBUTION EXPENSES - OPERATION											
Operation (580) Operation Supervision and Engineering	0	2,709,725	1,739,133		0%	100%	-	-	-	-	
Operation (581) Load Dispatching	0	4,167,739	2,244,001		0%	100%	-	-	-	-	
Operation (582) Station Expenses	0	2,403,644	1,149,970		10%	90%	-	240,364	114,997	-	Majority of expenses will be considered fixed and will not be affected by normal new investment in equipment.
Operation (583) Overhead Line Expenses	0	5,528,073	3,283,676		20%	80%	-	1,105,615	656,735	-	Majority of expenses will be considered fixed and will not be affected by normal new investment in equipment.
Operation (584) Underground Line Expenses	0	2,151,062	357,037		20%	80%	-	430,212	71,407	-	Majority of expenses will be considered fixed and will not be affected by normal new investment in equipment.
Operation (585) Street Lighting and Signal	0	3,078,089	218,107		0%	100%	-	-	-	-	
Operation (586) Meter Expenses	0	13,199,155	2,050,886		0%	100%	-	-	-	-	
Operation (587) Customer Installations Expenses	0	3,742,382	99,166		0%	100%	-	-	-	-	
Operation (588) Miscellaneous Expenses	40,336	29,953,231	6,901,716		50%	50%	20,168	14,976,616	3,450,858	-	Items included in this category may be vary from company to company or year to year and may span variable and fixed costs. A 50% split is used as a proxy.
Operation (589) Rents	0	438,600	342,898		0%	100%	-	-	-	-	
Total (as a check for correct entry)	40,336	67,371,700	18,386,590								
DISTRIBUTION EXPENSES - MAINTENANCE											
Maintenance (590) Maintenance Supervision and Engineering	0	27,396	455,954		0%	100%	-	-	-	-	
Maintenance (591) Maintenance of Structures	0	390,321	59,096		20%	80%	-	78,064	11,819	-	Majority of expenses will be considered fixed and will not be affected by normal new investment in equipment.
Maintenance (592) Maintenance of Station Equipment	-9	7,465,323	1,336,120		10%	90%	(1)	746,532	133,612	-	Majority of expenses will be considered fixed and will not be affected by normal new investment in equipment.
Maintenance (593) Maintenance of Overhead Lines	0	95,789,728	25,241,880		20%	80%	-	19,157,946	5,048,376	-	Majority of expenses will be considered fixed and will not be affected by normal new investment in equipment.
Maintenance (594) Maintenance of Underground Lines	0	5,041,347	2,390,497		20%	80%	-	1,008,269	478,099	-	Majority of expenses will be considered fixed and will not be affected by normal new investment in equipment.
Maintenance (595) Maintenance of Line Transformers	0	530,567	431,001		20%	80%	-	106,113	86,200	-	Majority of expenses will be considered fixed and will not be affected by normal new investment in equipment.
Maintenance (596) Maintenance of Street Lighting and Signal	0	4,628,414	1,013,628		0%	100%	-	-	-	-	
Maintenance (597) Maintenance of Meters	0	144,098	77,721		0%	100%	-	-	-	-	
Maintenance (598) Maintenance of Miscellaneous Distribution Plant	0	817,711	827,645		50%	50%	-	408,856	413,823	-	Items included in this category may be vary from company to company or year to year and may span variable and fixed costs. A 50% split is used as a proxy.
Total (as a check for correct entry)	-9	114,834,905	31,833,542								
AVOIDABLE DISTRIBUTION O&M	40,327	182,206,605	50,220,132				20,167	38,258,587	10,465,927		

Shares are based on expert judgment unless noted.

*** Use these total values in the carrying charge

Peak Growth Schedule 4

Purpose: This schedule tracks peak demand growth over a specific historical or future time period. (The same time period transmission investment was tracked for Schedule 2 except that the starting year is a year prior to the transmission investment.)

The time period requires a duration over which a reasonable amount of investment occurred or is projected to occur; recommended is 25 years

In length: 15 historical years and 10 forecast years. Please note: Peak demand can vary widely from year to year, as seasonal temperatures affect consumption during peak periods. If historical information is used for this analysis, please ensure that the starting and ending points are relatively weather normal.

Because available forecast is only 5 years, but 1st FY of forecast is already halfway over, National Grid has adopted practice of 5 historic/6 future. We need to adjust periods in Lines 1, 1a, and 1b every year

Because the distribution peaks are PSA loads at the power substation interfaces between the transmission and distribution systems, the distribution load differences are grossed up by the transmission loss factor of 2%. This puts all loads at the generation level for consistency in application of loss factors. No adjustments are made to Tx loads

Inputs are Shaded in Green (previously used/ no alternation required), New/Needed Inputs are Shaded in Yellow

Line	Description	Units	Source			
			NEP updated 2017	MECo + NANT updt 2017	NECo updt 2017	
1	Incremental growth in peak demand - Historical	MW	359	255	123	Maximum Value of most recent 5 year historical - the year before historical time period (6 years behind)
1a	Incremental growth in peak demand - Forecast	MW	628	439	189	Maximum Value of 6 most recent forecasted years minus most recent historical year
1b	Incremental growth in peak demand - Total	MW	987	694	293	Maximum Value of 5 historic years and 6 forecast years minus historical value of year before that set of numbers
c	Peak Demand: Year 0 (Historical) 1992	MW	3,964			1992
d	Peak Demand: Year 1 (Historical) 1993	MW	4,075			1993
e	Peak Demand: Year 2 (Historical) 1994	MW	4,370			1994
f	Peak Demand: Year 3 (Historical) 1995	MW	4,341			1995
g	Peak Demand: Year 4 (Historical) 1996	MW	4,632	3,370	1,261	1996
h	Peak Demand: Year 5 (Historical) 1997	MW	4,981	3,588	1,394	Historicals from Form 1 1997
i	Peak Demand: Year 6 (Historical) 1998	MW	5,210	3,791	1,418	(pg 401 Monthly Peaks 1998
j	Peak Demand: Year 7 (Historical) 1999	MW	5,532	4,022	1,511	and Output, line highest of lines 1999
k	Peak Demand: Year 8 (Historical) 2000	MW	5,355	3,879	1,475	29-40) includes EUA pre-merger, from 2005 PSA forecast document 2000
l	Peak Demand: Year 9 (Historical) 2001	MW	6,077	4,413	1,663	2001
m	Peak Demand: Year 10 (Historical) 2002	MW	6,269	4,582	1,687	2002
n	Peak Demand: Year 11 (Historical) 2003	MW	6,048	4,412	1,636	2003
o	Peak Demand: Year 12 (Historical) 2004	MW	5,915	4,314	1,602	2004
p	Peak Demand: Year 13 (Historical) 2005	MW	6,673	4,885	1,788	2005
q	Peak Demand: Year 14 (Historical) 2006	MW	7,038	5,106	1,932	2006
r	Peak Demand: Year 15 (Historical) 2007	MW	6,450	4,690	1,760	2007
s	Peak Demand: Year 16 (Historical) 2008	MW	6,487	4,706	1,781	2008
t	Peak Demand: Year 17 (Historical) 2009	MW	6,110	4,434	1,676	Company Specific Forecast 2009
u	Peak Demand: Year 18 (Historical) 2010	MW	6,716	4,892	1,824	Data. Peak forecast data used 2010
v	Peak Demand: Year 19 (Historical) 2011	MW	6,920	4,985	1,935	should be consistent with the 2011
w	Peak Demand: Year 20 (Historical) 2012	MW	7,072	5,128	1,944	company planning policy (for example if transmission 2012
x	Peak Demand: Year 21 (Historical) 2013	MW	7,154	5,174	1,980	investment is based on extreme 2013
y	Peak Demand: Year 22 (Historical) 2014	MW	7,006	5,074	1,932	weather expectations, the 2014
z	Peak Demand: Year 23 (Historical) 2015	MW	7,268	5,210	2,058	extreme weather peak forecast 2015
aa	Peak Demand: Year 24 (Historical) 2016	MW	7,279	5,240	2,039	should be used. For consistency with the historical data, the forecast should be at the generation level. 2016
ab	Peak Demand: Year 25 (Forecast) 2017	MW	7,469	5,376	2,093	2017
ac	Peak Demand: Year 26 (Forecast) 2018	MW	7,585	5,460	2,125	2018
ad	Peak Demand: Year 27 (Forecast) 2019	MW	7,674	5,525	2,149	2019
ae	Peak Demand: Year 28 (Forecast) 2020	MW	7,748	5,575	2,173	2020
af	Peak Demand: Year 29 (Forecast) 2021	MW	7,826	5,626	2,200	2021
ag	Peak Demand: Year 30 (Forecast) 2022	MW	7,907	5,679	2,228	2022
ah	Peak Demand: Year 31 (Forecast) 2023	MW	7,988	5,733	2,255	2023
ai	Peak Demand: Year 32 (Forecast) 2024	MW	8,068	5,787	2,281	2024
aj	Peak Demand: Year 33 (Forecast) 2025	MW	8,149	5,842	2,307	2025
ak	Peak Demand: Year 34 (Forecast) 2026	MW	8,228	5,896	2,332	2026
al	Peak Demand: Year 35 (Forecast) 2027	MW	-	-	-	2027
am	Peak Demand: Year 36 (Forecast) 2028	MW	-	-	-	2028
an	Peak Demand: Year 37 (Forecast) 2029	MW	-	-	-	2029

2017 UPDATE

Forecast PEAK MWs from NE PEAK 2015 Report appendix A
Peak without EE (Forecast based on 50/50 scenario)

Method changed in 2018 from Synapse AESC update Now use forecast with EE savings included.

	NECO			MA		
	MA (without EE and PV)	NECO (without EE and PV)	NECO (includes EE and PV)	MA (includes EE and PV)	NECO (includes EE and PV)	NECO (includes EE and PV)
Actuals	2012	5,128	1,944	2012	4,749	1,892
	2013	5,174	1,980	2013	4,982	1,954
	2014	5,074	1,932	2014	4,387	1,653
	2015	5,210	2,058	2015	4,375	1,738
	2016	5,240	2,039	2016	4,541	1,802
Forecast	2017	5,376	2,093	2017	4,419	1,793
normal	2018	5,460	2,125	2018	4,386	1,783
50/50	2019	5,525	2,149	2019	4,378	1,780
(without all EE and PV)	2020	5,575	2,173	2020	4,361	1,780
in MW	2021	5,626	2,200	2021	4,348	1,786
	2022	5,679	2,228	2022	4,341	1,794
	2023	5,733	2,255	2023	4,338	1,804
	2024	5,787	2,281	2024	4,337	1,812
	2025	5,842	2,307	2025	4,340	1,821
	2026	5,896	2,332	2026	4,345	1,830